All respondents

Total 271 100%

## Section 1: General and Household

Ask: All

All Please enter the number of people in your household in the following age bands.

Age Group (Years)	Number	Percentage
Males 0 – 4	9	3%
Males 5 – 10	18	7%
Males 11 – 16	21	8%
Males 17 - 21	9	3%
Males 22 - 39	23	8%
Males 40 - 59	81	30%
Males 60 - 64	26	10%
Males 65 – 74	57	21%
Males 75+	36	13%

Age Group (Years)	Number	Percentage
Females 0 – 4	11	4%
Females 5 – 10	11	4%
Females 11 – 16	22	8%
Females 17 - 21	9	3%
Females 22 – 39	33	12%
Females 40 – 59	87	32%
Females 60 – 64	35	13%
Females 65 – 74	56	21%
Females 75+	42	15%

Ask: All

Q2. a) How many vehicles, parked at or near your house are there in your household? Cars

Total	271	100%
Base for statistics	244	90%
Sum of values	408	
Average	1.7	
Standard deviation	.76	
Standard error	.05	
Error variance	*	

Q2A.	All Vans			
	Total	271	100%	
	Base for statistics	33	12%	
	Sum of values	38 1.2		
	Average Standard deviation	.57		
	Standard error	.10		
	Error variance	.01		
Ask: <b>Q2B.</b>	All			
QZD.	Motorcycles			
	Total	271	100%	
	Base for statistics	12	4%	
	Sum of values	18		
	Average	1.5		
	Standard deviation	.67		
	Standard error Error variance	.19		
	Error variance	.04		
Ask:	All			
Q2C.	Bicycles			
	Total	271	100%	
	Base for statistics	60	22%	
	Sum of values	142		
	Average	2.4		
	Standard deviation	1.35		
	Standard error	.17		
	Error variance	.03		
Ask:	All			
Q3.	Do you use the footpaths and bridleways in the paris	h?		
	Total	271	100%	
	Yes often	150	55%	
	Yes rarely	84	31%	
	No	35	13%	
Ask:	All			
Q3A.	If yes, please indicate if you have encountered any o	f the followir	ng difficulties	
	Total	271	100%	
	Farm animals	5	2%	
	Crops in the fields	16	6%	
	No stiles or gates	10	4%	
	Barbed wire	11	4%	

How do you rate the facilities for the disabled, those with mobility issues and parents (with

wheelchair /pushchairs/prams, etc)

Q4. Facilities

	Total		High		Acceptable		Unacceptable	
Parking	271	100%	18	7%	121	45%	16	6%
Pavements and								
roads	271	100%	22	8%	113	42%	31	11%
Access to shops	271	100%	49	18%	114	42%	2	1%
Access to public								
buildings	271	100%	23	8%	116	43%	8	3%
Footpaths	271	100%	18	7%	103	38%	36	13%
Road crossing								
points	271	100%	11	4%	108	40%	31	11%
Access to bus stops	271	100%	12	4%	123	45%	13	5%

Ask: All

**Q5.** Please indicate if the village suffers from any of the following types of disturbance:

Total	271	100%
Traffic noise	61	23%
Farm animals in gardens	3	1%
Farm animals on the road	1	*%
Shooting range	1	*%
Motorcycle scrambling	21	8%
Hunting	2	1%
Low flying aircraft	52	19%
No disturbance	3	1%

Ask: All

**Q6.** Are there any areas of the village which could be improved?

Total	271	100%
Yes	90	33%
No	18	7%
Don't know	116	43%

**Q6A.** If yes please specify

Gill lane could be better cared for.

The footpath between Beching Farm and Saundby is often unusable.

Stop more infill.

Resurface footpaths.

Resurface road in the crescent.

Improve some footpaths.

Roads need resurfacing.

Old graveyard by church.

A pub is needed.

More facilities for disposing of dog waste, Wood Lane and Mutton lane especially!

The speed and noise of traffic are a real issue. The main road going through Saundby is treacherous at best. I feel it is near impossible to cross the road either on foot or on horse back without taking my life into my own hands.

Crossing the dual carriageway.

Teen control. There isn't any.

Speeding cars: the high and low streets are used as drag strips.

Does malicious gossip count or is that a plus.

Does handing over formal power to too few people count?

Parking in School zones.

Footpaths on the high street

Keeping the "village feel", e.g. green spaces, preserving buildings etc.

Cutting out litter and vandalism.

Parking on both sides of the road in the vicinity of the school, right back to the corner near the institute, restricting movement of traffic when the children finish school.

Local rail links in village station.

Main road into Gainsborough: dead trees rubbish dump from black spot corner to Trent Bridge.

Improve area with creating more social amenities.

Road cleaning.

Footpaths are poor in places.

Not all dog owners are educated in picking it up!

Late evening and night traffic activity at village hall area is nothing to do with planned village hall activities. i.e. cars and scooters coming and going frequently.

Village Hall play park.

No more infilling. Village is losing it's personally.

Eating facilities e.g. restaurant.

Scrap yard should be cleaned up. It's an eyesore, especially to people using Mutton Lane and Wood Lane for exercise and walking pets.

Control of speeding vehicles along Low Street.

Speed cameras on the dual carriageway between the two roundabouts.

Difuria Yard is the biggest eyesore for miles around. 95% of the machines have nothing to do with farming; it is worse than Steptoe's yard.

Children's play park is very poor. Needs more apparatus to play on. (Take a look at Walkeringham and Misterton) Also needs better up-keep, disgrace for Beckingham.

East End Roundabout

Licensed premises.

Poor restoration of roads and footpaths re surfacing after excavation for installation of water, gas, electricity and sewage services.

Speed bumps to slow traffic down.

Implementation of 30 mph speed limit, e.g. speed cameras, speed bumps.

Roads and pavements frequently need repairing.

Church street double bend – difficult to see if there is anything coming. Sometimes people park on the bend. It needs no parking signs and go slow signs.

Action should be taken against motorcycles bypass – noise pollution caused by same should be addressed.

Gill Lane

The condition of the road on Low Street.

Surface of roads renewed. Road gutters, particularly Low Street, Beckingham need to be cleaned more often.

Footpaths and local road signs.

Parked vehicles on institute corner.

Village shop.

Irresponsible parking at the Recreation Room, School and Shop.

More recycling facilities.

Footpaths have been obstructed by land owners; they shouldn't be allowed to do that.

Prevent internal car journeys e.g. school run. Prevent through traffic e.g. gates at The Green/ High Street.

Community facilities - pub, café.

Footpath from Walkering Road to the roundabout to create a circular route round the village.

The area on Low Street where there appears to be a development yet nothing is happening.

Resurface Low Street

Too many big houses have been built in this village driving out local people; there is a need for reasonably priced houses so young people do not have to move away.

Litter - not in the village itself - Old Trent Road to Walkeringham.

Motorbikes, speeding, weekends, Wednesday evenings, very difficult to get out of your own driveway.

Stop endless excessive noise of motorbikes at weekends and Wednesday evenings as they travel at up to speeds of 90mph.

Increased housing has no infrastructure.

Drop curb of Ramper Road.

Improve street lighting on A620 between roundabout and Ramper Road.

Park or gardens required without play facilities. Walking paths to go somewhere (anywhere).

Dangerous road leading into Gainsborough not fit to walk or cycle on.

There is always room for improvement.

Wood N Bits, Ramper Road – parked cars obstruct traffic from left.

Powerhouse, Ramper Road – vehicles frequently obstructing junction.

Better footpath protection A620.

Bus shelter for Ramper Road. (school children)

Amenities for youth.

A small children's park – the one we have is for older children.

Grit box at top of Old Trent Road makes the footpath difficult to negotiate with a pram.

Garage on Low street

Village footpaths in need op repair in some areas.

Having a pub/restaurant.

Path around school playing field.

Raised manhole covers and water stop tap lids on pavement are dangerous to people with poor eye sight and balance. Pavements are a disgrace in quite a few places and tend to slant towards the road which is also very frightening for these people.

Somewhere central for the children to play ball games etc.

More facilities for the younger generation.

Commercial/social centre for the village.

Pub, Chip shop, tea/coffee shop.

Outside the school, no parking blocks access.

Parking on the Institute corner is dangerous.

Build no more houses without more shops, pub etc.

Take the 50mph off dual carriageway.

Footpath surfaces.

Hedges that cover footpaths to be cut back.

Some footpaths roads in poor state.

Barking Dogs should be silenced. The German shepherd next to the village green barks incessantly.

Junction High street/Church street – extend footpath.

Gill Lane nearest the Green requires resurfacing.

Short stretch of footpath from Wileman Villas to the Paddocks needs extending.

Stop motorcyclists speeding on by-pass.

Get a pub back.

Stop speeding in village.

Pavements in the crescent covered in Green Moss, which during wet weather is slippery under foot.

Road repairs Marsh Lane.

Facilities for young people in the village.

Old Trent Road and Ship Yard Lane are used regularly as a leisure area by walkers, cyclists, horse riders and bird watchers. It would be nice to have the traffic slowed down, an off road footpath would also make it safer.

Foot paths.

Public footpaths around Beckingham have disappeared.

Roads and paths on Low Street

The footpaths are uneven, no good for wheelchairs.

Speed ramps are needed.

Cars parking on blind corners and half on footpath/half on road.

No public house/restaurant.

Inadequate play areas for town's children – no baby swings.

No wheelchair access to public footpaths.

More relaxed planning approach.

30 mph speed limit through Saundby.

Ask:

Q7. Refuse Collection - For some time now Beckingham and Saundby have had the blue and

green refuse collection system. Please tick whichever boxes apply

Total	271	100%
I am happy with the current collection system	114	42%
I would like to see additional bins or boxes		
provided for more recycling, e.g. Newspapers,		
glass, green waste etc.	91	34%
I am unhappy with the fact that green bins are		
only being emptied fortnightly leading to possible		
health issues, especially during summer months.	65	24%

Ask:

Do you have difficulty in getting to the following?

Q8. health difficulty

	Total		No Problems		Minor		Major	
Hospital	271	100%	188	69%	33	12%	14	5%
Doctor / practice								
nurse	271	100%	202	75%	24	9%	7	3%
Health centre /							_	,
clinic	271	100%	179	66%	13	5%	6	2%
Chemist for								
prescriptions	271	100%	194	72%	17	6%	6	2%
Chiropodist	271	100%	158	58%	12	4%	5	2%
Optician	271	100%	192	71%	18	7%	6	2%
Dentist	271	100%	187	69%	14	5%	20	7%
Day centre	271	100%	126	46%	5	2%	2	1%

How do you rate the following Services? Please only tick those which you have genuine

experience of:

Q9. Services

	Total	G	Good	A	Average	Po	oor	
Doctors	271	100%	155	57%	64	24%	7	3%
District / practice								
nurse	271	100%	104	38%	26	10%	2	1%
Health visitor	271	100%	23	8%	17	6%	-	-%
Community care								
assistant / home								
help	271	100%	3	1%	8	3%	-	-%
Ambulance	271	100%	42	15%	12	4%	4	1%
Maternity care	271	100%	14	5%	11	4%	-	-%
Chiropody	271	100%	14	5%	6	2%	2	1%
Meals on wheels	271	100%	4	1%	5	2%	-	-%
Loan of medical								
equipment	271	100%	16	6%	4	1%	4	1%
Day centre for								
people with								
disabilities	271	100%	3	1%	4	1%	-	-%
Social worker	271	100%	8	3%	7	3%	-	-%

Ask: All Q10. If y

If you think you need assistance to enable you to live in your home, please complete the following questions

Please complete the volunteer contact sheet at the back of the questionnaire if a scheme is suggested for your village / parish and you would like to hear more.

Total	271	100%
A friend or someone I know called to do the		
shopping and check that I am alright	7	3%
I had help with the garden	10	4%
I was able to attend a day centre for lunch		
company	1	*%
I was visited by my church	4	1%
I was given extra help from Social Services /		
Home care Services	4	1%
I received meals on wheels	2	1%
I was able to call upon a community voluntary		
car scheme so I could do my own		
shopping/visiting the doctor etc.	6	2%

Ask: Al

Q11. In the next five years, if you find you are less able to live in your present home due to age or ill health where would you like to live?

Total	271	100%
In more suitable accommodation in the village	18	7%
In a residential home	2	1%
In a nursing home	1	*%
In sheltered accommodation	9	3%
In a specially adapted home	1	*%
In a smaller house	17	6%
Live with my family	5	2%
In present home with support	15	6%

Ask: Q12. If you had a complaint about the quality of your health service or social services would you know where to take it? 100% Total 271 47% Yes 127 No 112 41% Section: Health and Social Services (Carers) Ask: ΑII The Carers section is intended only for persons who care for someone on a long term basis who may be ill or disabled or too frail to look after themselves. If this does not apply to you please go to the next section. Q13. Do you care for someone? 100% Total 271 8% Yes 21 -% No Ask: ΑII Q14. Have you been provided with information regarding financial support available to you? Total 271 100% Yes 16 6% No 4 1% Ask: ΑII Q14A. If not, would you know how to access this information? Total 271 100% Yes 7 3% No 4 1% **Section 6: Community Support** Ask: Q15. Do you think your village would benefit from a 'good neighbour' scheme? e.g. shopping for senior citizens, volunteer driving scheme? 100% Total 271 63% Yes 171 No 2% 6 Don't know 57 21%

Ask: ΑII

Q16. Have you any equipment which could help the village cope with emergencies?

Please complete the volunteer contact sheet at the back of the questionnaire if a scheme is suggested for your village / parish and you would like to hear more.

Total	271	100%
Heating / cooking equipment	4	1%
4 wheel drive vehicle	6	2%
Emergency lighting	-	-%
Generator	4	1%
None	_	-%

## **Section 7: Housing**

Ask: ΑII

Q17. Are you or a member of your family currently looking for alternative accommodation

separate from other members of your household?

271 100% Total Yes 2% 6 No 240 89%

Ask: ΑII

If yes please state the age group of the person looking for separate accommodation. Q18.

Total	271	100%
17 - 21	-	-%
22 - 39	-	-%
40 - 65	-	-%
65+	-	-%

Ask:

Q19. During the next 10 years would you like to see the number of homes in the village / parish?

Total	271	100%
Remain the same	183	68%
Increase by up to 10%	48	18%
Increase by up to 20%	15	6%
Increase by up to 40%	-	-%
Increase by up to 50%	2	1%
Increase by up to 100%	-	-%
Increase by over 100%	-	-%

Ask:

Q20. If there is a need for more homes, do you think there is a need for any of the following?

Total	271	100%
No housing is needed	-	-%
Housing for young people	30	11%
Family housing	18	7%
Housing for the elderly	26	10%
Mobile homes	-	-%

Ask: <b>Q21.</b>	All If houses were built should they be for?									
	Total	271	100%							
	Council letting	13	5%							
	Housing Association letting	18	7%							
	Restricted sale (e.g. for local people)	19	7%							
	Unrestricted sale	9	3%							
	No houses should be built	2	1%							
Ask:	All									
Q22.	What type of housing development would be most acceptable?									
	Total	271	100%							
	None	-	-%							
	Single dwellings in controlled location	14	5%							
	In-filling	21	8%							
	Small group(s) of less than 10	24	9%							
	Carefully designed larger groups	5	2%							
	Conversion of redundant buildings	20	7%							
Ask:	All									
Q23.	Would you have any objections in principle to a smal meet the housing needs of LOCAL people?	l developme	nt which might help to							
	Total	271	100%							
	Yes	65	24%							
	No	169	62%							

Q24.

Infilling is where unused plots of land or large gardens within the village boundaries are used for small developments of houses. Please give your opinion on whether or not Beckingham should have any more planning applications accepted for infill site in the village. (No more than 25 words.)

There are many houses for sale and not selling. We do not need any more infill houses.

Ideally no. Can the sewage system cope with more?

No more infill. Too much already.

No more building.

People who have enjoyed the village in their lives should not destroy it by selling off to infill.

Consider has enough houses.

We have enough infilling already.

Most projects benefit the developers not the village. i.e. the houses built in the crescent will only cause traffic congestion.

NO more houses.

I do not believe that infilling would be a good idea.

NO! We cannot adequately cope with our present numbers. Why do you think we could manage more?!

No they should not be built.

Beckingham is near saturated with housing and has little else apart from new small houses/flats it would be completely ruined should more dwellings be built.

No. there are already houses being built on every available plot. Demolishing Rotunda and building there is CRIMINAL.

Sufficient infilling has already taken place, any further infilling would be detrimental to the character of the village.

Do not believe it is necessary to cram more homes into "large garden". Strongly believe no more planning permission for houses to be demolished to build new ones.

Should be left with green areas in the village.

No more building.

As with other areas, when gardens are sold off, the village will become cramped and congestion will increase.

No more planning permission until all sites already with planning are developed.

We need smaller houses for first time buyers.

Not acceptable to take gardens for building plots.

I think there has been a lot of houses built on small areas which increases traffic noise etc. most recently planning permission has been approved to build on at least 3 new sites in the village.

No more infilling. The village should stay small; there are too few small friendly villages.

No further houses should go ahead. Schools and infrastructure couldn't handle growth.

No further developments of this type should be allowed over the next few years.

No. the village should be left as it is.

Infilling is ok, but more thought should be given to first time buyers.

Beckingham needs green areas, already enough houses in the village.

No more houses.

No more houses.

No because the village already has enough houses.

No – present plans far exceed the needs of the community.

In a word – NO!! We consider present foul water drainage system is only just adequate. I have experienced the system blocking up to the point of almost discharging onto the surface of the footpaths and pavements. We also know of a house on Low street whose drainage system did overflow into their garden. We believe that a definitive statement describing the capacity of our system should be obtained from the companies concerned, and it should be adhered to.

No.

No more planning accepted at all, drains and sewers can't cope.

No there would be an overloading of the available facilities.

The character of the village is being destroyed and will end up with rows and rows of houses and no land to enjoy.

Beckingham is a small village which can only sustain a small population. Increase housing means increase population, increase in schooling needs, more traffic.

Not opposed to rough land being developed but totally opposed to houses being demolished to squash in lots

of houses in small plots.

Beckingham should not have any more planning applications accepted for infill as it puts and unreasonable strain on the existing system, storing up problems for the future.

Planning applications should be an individual merit, to be kept in proportion to village life.

We are already seeing such applications which are opposed by residents and the Parish Council – they still get approved whatever the level of objection.

Beckingham is large enough without any more development.

Our views are divided; my husband strongly objects to this, I think every case should be judged individually.

No more.

Application to be accepted only if there is a need for the type of development proposed e.g. houses for young people out of local area.

We are not in favour.

Providing adjoining property owners raised no objections whatsoever I/We would be content to see more application accepted for infill sites in the village.

No, because all it is doing is cramping the village up like in towns.

No more infilling should be allowed. The village is ideal at the moment and easily managed by the village people.

No more infill.

Only for single dwellings.

No, past experience proves that any local planning restrictions are overturned at a higher level.

I don't think that more homes should be built; Beckingham does not have the amenities to deal with any more residents.

I don't disagree with infill if it stays with the look of the rest of the village.

The internal green spaces are an essential component of any village. Loss of these by developments motivated by greed should not be permitted.

No more planning applications - village is large enough!

Often makes sensible (less obtrusive) course for additional accommodation.

Unused plots of land might as well be used for housing.

Great care should be taken in locations. The destruction of large properties for infill of the gardens is not acceptable.

Infilling destroys the historical character of the older houses in the village and should be avoided.

I don't think that there should be anymore of this as it can detract from the existing houses.

No more infilling or developments.

No more applications should be accepted. The village has already been spoilt by infilling – the village charm and character has been lost.

No more planning applications accepted for infill sites in the village.

Enough already.

Too much traffic already, can't get over the bridge into Gainsborough – traffic queues nightmare.

No more infills.

I don't think the infrastructure could cope.

Too much development and it ceases to be a community driven village.

Beckingham is already crowded with respect to infilling.

Can't see many more infill sites left!

Infilling is ok, if for affordable housing, possibly flats.

Yes where suitable and in keeping with the surrounding area.

There should be no infill. All the green areas within the village should remain as they are now.

Yes why not, but not crammed in to get that extra plot.

No, how big does the village need to get with no facilities. I like the countryside.

Infilling is fine if the houses built are low value to enable village descendents to live here – not 4 bedrooms double garage!

Yes

Beckingham should not have any more planning applications accepted for infill there is more than enough new houses in the village.

No more houses should be built within the village.

We feel that there enough houses in Beckingham already. It often spoils the look of the village and houses are often bought by 'outsiders' who are not interested in village or community life, or the other extreme where they want to come and take over the village.

Too many already.

The envelope of the village should be made wider for more building plots.

Definitely not.

At the rate that Beckingham has been infilling over the last ten years there will soon be no land left to build on. It is a disgrace.

No I don't think we need infilling, it will spoil the character of the village.

No, Beckingham is big enough, any bigger and it will not be a village. We do not have the facilities for more houses.

I think Beckingham is full.

Yes, providing they do not demolish a good building to fit more plots in.

None.

The village has already been spoilt.

No, the village is already being spoilt by people selling off their gardens for building.

Infilling is acceptable in some areas where appropriate/necessary. Not keen where the only reason would appear to be for financial benefit. Unused land/large gardens are part of a village!

No. Inadequate cohesion nominal centre to the village and insufficient sewer system.

I think that there are more than enough applications as it is.

There are infill plots around the village that could be used for development.

If all the open spaces are used for developments Beckingham will lose its village appeal.

No objection to development, but style and appearance need to be strictly controlled. No more Adams orangered brick boxes! Attractive developments enhance the village.

They never know when to stop.

We do think the village should be able to infill but with reasonably sized properties affordable to young people. Gardens should not be filled in. Good quality homes should not be bulldozed for infilling.

Although the land surrounding our own house has good potential for infill development we do not intend to take advantage of this and do not agree with the practice since it spoils the character of the village.

Yes, but certainly not to pull down existing properties which are in good order.

Only for small developments of less than 10 houses.

I think Beckingham should not have any more applications accepted. I say keep it as it is; pretty, unspoilt and not too built up.

No more infilling!! The character of the village is being ruined.

No more.

To maintain a compact character for the village.

No. further such development will turn the village into a housing estate, and encroach on the privacy of even more residents.

No more should happen. It is ruining the village, and lowering property prices.

From personal experience, I think infill sites have gone too far. Mostly for personal gain without little or no thought for neighbours and the distress brought about.

No more.

No - every available space is being filled. It's not a village any more. It is getting too big and crowded.

No more houses to be built

The more people living in a village is better for facilities available.

The village is fast turning into a concrete jungle and there has been too much infilling. Please stop while we still have a village.

We feel that it is important that villages do not get too large as it begins to put a bigger strain on services.

Each application should be judged on its merit.

No more infilling in Beckingham as it spoils the look of the village.

No, houses should retain gardens for environmental reasons and to prevent overcrowding the village with dwellings.

The village seems to be quite full up now.

No infilling – keep the green spaces.

As property is slow in selling, I think people should deal to requirement.

Beckingham is big enough for the few facilities that we have.

We feel that the village is congested enough.

Infilling should only be for locals and be affordable for local first time buyers not mansions for commuters.

No they should not. Too many houses – looks so messy. Need jobs first – not houses.

No.

No, as it can affect the outlook of existing properties.

Yes, as there are some unsightly plots of land which would benefit from considered development.

	Section8: Information and Communic	cations	
Ask:	All		
Q25.	The mobile library currently operates a fortnightly ser	vice do you	use it
	Total	271	100%
	More than five times a year	39	14%
	Between three and five times a year	5	2%
	Less than three times a year	15	6%
	Never	198	73%
Ask:	All		
Q26.	How do you usually find out about what's on and new	vs in the villa	ge and parish?
	Total	271	100%
	By word of mouth	147	54%
	Village notice board	131	48%
	Posters in local shop	123	45%
	Local papers	92	34%
	Library	6	2%
	Church magazine	173	64%
	Website	11	4%
	email	6	2%
	Radio	4	1%
			.,,
	All Would you be prepared to become involved in any or	rime nrevent	ion activity?
	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr		
	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr Total	271	100%
	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr Total Yes	271 70	100% 26%
	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr Total	271	100%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr Total Yes No	271 70 164	100% 26%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par	271 70 164 rish to be	100% 26% 61%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total	271 70 164 rish to be	100% 26% 61%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total Good	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5	100% 26% 61% 100% 2%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total	271 70 164 rish to be	100% 26% 61%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total Good Reasonable Poor	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36 175	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13% 65%
Ask: Q27. Ask: Q28.	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total Good Reasonable	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total Good Reasonable Poor	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36 175 44	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13% 65%
Q27. Ask: Q28.	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par Total Good Reasonable Poor No opinion	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36 175 44	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13% 65%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask: <b>Q28.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par Total Good Reasonable Poor No opinion  Section 10: Role of the Parish Cou	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36 175 44	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13% 65% 16%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask: <b>Q28.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total Good Reasonable Poor No opinion  Section 10: Role of the Parish Cou  All Would you support the Parish council in making plan easier to obtain?	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36 175 44	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13% 65% 16%
<b>Q27.</b> Ask:	Would you be prepared to become involved in any cr  Total Yes No  All Do you regard the police coverage of the village / par  Total Good Reasonable Poor No opinion  Section 10: Role of the Parish Cou  All Would you support the Parish council in making plan	271 70 164 rish to be 271 5 36 175 44	100% 26% 61% 100% 2% 13% 65% 16%

Ask: <b>Q30.</b>	All Which of these renewable energy systems would you favour to reduce the carbon emissions that are adversely effecting our environment?							
	Total	100%						
	Community wind turbine	271 48	18%					
	Wind turbines for individual houses	37	14%					
	Individual Solar panels for houses	63	23%					
	Other	8	3%					
	None	1	*%					
Ask:	All							
Q31.	Should local funds or other sources of funding be ava such systems?	ailable to ass	sist in the installation	on of				
	Total	271	100%					
	Yes	155	57%					
	No	65	24%					
Ask:	All							
Q32.	The Parish Council can raise money via Council Tax, money is spent?	, are you sat	isfied with the way	this				
	Total	271	100%					
	Totally satisfied	16	6%					
	Quite satisfied	72	27%					
	Not satisfied	38	14%					
	No opinion	30	11%					
	Don't know how it is spent	96	35%					
Ask: <b>Q33.</b>								
	All Which of these statements do you agree most strong	lly with?						
	Which of these statements do you agree most strong		100%					
	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total  The Parish Council's first priority should be to  minimise its spending and its charge on the	lly with? 271	100%					
	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total  The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax.		100% 18%					
	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total  The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax.  The Parish Council's first priority is to provide	271 50	18%					
	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total  The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax.  The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants.	271 50 184	18% 68%					
	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total  The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax.  The Parish Council's first priority is to provide	271 50	18%					
<b>Q33.</b> Ask:	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax. The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants.  Don't know	50 184 16	18% 68% 6%					
Q33.	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax.  The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants.  Don't know	50 184 16	18% 68% 6%					
<b>Q33.</b> Ask:	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax. The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants.  Don't know	50 184 16	18% 68% 6%					
<b>)333.</b> Ask:	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax. The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants.  Don't know  All How well does the Parish Council publicise its decision	50 184 16	18% 68% 6% vities?					
<b>Q33.</b> Ask:	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax. The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants.  Don't know  All How well does the Parish Council publicise its decision.	271 50 184 16 ons and active 271	18% 68% 6% vities?					
<b>Q33.</b> Ask:	Which of these statements do you agree most strong  Total The Parish Council's first priority should be to minimise its spending and its charge on the council tax. The Parish Council's first priority is to provide services which the community wants. Don't know  All How well does the Parish Council publicise its decision to the parish	271 50 184 16 ons and active 271 33	18% 68% 6% vities? 100% 12%					

Section	11:	Trans	port	and	Highwa	ys
---------	-----	-------	------	-----	--------	----

Transport

Q35. How often do you use the bus service for the following purposes.

	Work		Shopp	ing	Medical hospital	•	Social		Other	
Work Total	271	100%	271	100%	271	100%	271	100%	271	100%
Several times a week Once or twice a month Very occasionally Never	3 1 4 197	1% *% 1% 73%	11 6 32 168	4% 2% 12% 62%	3 1 9 180	1% *% 3% 66%	4 4 15 177	1% 1% 6% 65%	3 2 13 148	1% 1% 5% 55%

Ask: All What do you think of the quality of the BUS SERVICE in the following ways?

	Total		Good	F	-air	F	Poor		No opinio	n
Routing	271	100%	11	4%	34	13%	34	13%	103	38%
Cost	271	100%	10	4%	34	13%	19	7%	99	37%
Reliability	271	100%	15	6%	36	13%	22	8%	102	38%
Ease of										
accessibility	271	100%	16	6%	36	13%	16	6%	100	37%

Ask: All

Q37. Do you use any of the following forms of alternative transport?

<i></i> / 1	100%
4	1%
-	-%
20	7%
12	4%
1	*%
22	8%
	12 1

Ask: All

**Q38.** Where do you find out information about travel options?

Total	271	100%
Village contact points	14	5%
Local shop / post office	32	12%
Internet sites	14	5%
Directory enquiries (118 numbers)	6	2%
Traveline	15	6%
Word of mouth	34	13%

All  Do you consider the parking facilities in the parish to	be:		
		100%	
Poor			
A hazard			
Don't know	32	12%	
All			
Is the village church important to you for any of the fo	llowing?		
Total	271	100%	
Sunday worship	55	20%	
	91	34%	
		41%	
	103	<del>4</del> 0 /0	
other way?	31	11%	
If the church was open more regularly would you use Total Yes No	271 77 144	100% 28% 53% 100% 15% 44% 4% 32% 3%	offee?
All Please indicate the main reason why you use the loc	al Post Offic	e.	
Total	271	100%	
Other services	83	31%	
Ct.101 001 11003	30	0.70	
	Do you consider the parking facilities in the parish to  Total Good Fair Poor A hazard Don't know  All Is the village church important to you for any of the form of the fact of the partial sunday worship Festivals such as Easter, Harvest and Christmas Baptisms, weddings and funerals Sunday School and religious instructions As a quiet place to sit For musical or other social events As an historic building Would you like to see the church used in any other way?  All If the church was open more regularly would you use Total Yes No  Section 13: Retail and Other Servi  All Do you use the village shop?  Total Daily Weekly Monthly Sometimes Never	Do you consider the parking facilities in the parish to be:    Total   271	Do you consider the parking facilities in the parish to be:    Total

Ask: <b>Q44.</b>	All How many times a month do you use the local Post C	All How many times a month do you use the local Post Office?					
	Total	271	1				

Total 271 100%
More than 10 63 23%
In between 5 and 10 105 39%
Less than 5 83 31%
Never 6 2%

Ask: All

Q45. Should overnight tourists be encouraged in and around the village through the development of:

Total 271 100% Camping sites 16 6% Sites for touring caravans 24 9% Sites for permanent holiday caravans 2 1% Bed and breakfast trade 57 21% Guest houses 42 15% Hotels 11 4%

## **Section 14: Services**

Ask: All

What are your views on the standard and services of the following environmental services in the village / parish?

	Total		Good		Reasona	ble	Poor		No opinio	n
Main water									•	
supply	271	100%	175	65%	53	20%	11	4%	6	2%
Main gas supply Refuse	271	100%	172	63%	37	14%	7	3%	19	7%
collection	271	100%	154	57%	78	29%	23	8%	-	-%
Street lighting	271	100%	152	56%	67	25%	17	6%	2	1%
Public toilets	271	100%	1	*%	6	2%	81	30%	49	18%
Street cleaning Verge	271	100%	42	15%	118	44%	64	24%	4	1%
maintenance Winter weather services (gritting	271	100%	34	13%	99	37%	88	32%	6	2%
etc) Provision of	271	100%	34	13%	100	37%	84	31%	7	3%
litter bins Electricity	271	100%	43	16%	127	47%	51	19%	14	5%
supply	271	100%	172	63%	59	22%	5	2%	6	2%

Ask: All

**Q47.** Which sewage disposal system do you use?

Total	271	100%
Main drainage	-	-%
Septic tank	-	-%
Cesspit	1	*%
Earth closet	1	*%
Chemical toilet	-	-%
Don't know	-	-%

**Q48.** Sewage - In the past there have been some problems with sewage systems in the village.

Please supply any comments relating to your own experiences of sewage system in

Beckingham or Saundby.

No Problems

The Saundby stench is disgusting

Marsh Lane smells in Summer

Blocked pipes in the crescent, all right at the moment

From attending meetings of the parish council it would appear that some houses are inadequate for human habitation due to long outstanding problems.

Have been lucky so far!

System at breaking point

As buildings continue to increase in numbers this may well be an issue

Our system backs up to the point of almost overflowing onto the garden. I have observed sewage overflowing from back up chains onto a garden in Low Street. (Owner reluctant to admit this as could devalue property).

Sewers and drains need updating.

The problems leave you to ask if the present system is adequate in particular to all the new building being allowed.

In the past 20 years, two blockages outside Recreation room.

Smelly down Marsh Lane

The smell down Marsh Lane in Saundby is awful at times.

My sewer system has backed up and flooded my rear garden on two or three occasions in the past 40 years, which is most disturbing. I think it would be due to heavy surface drainage.

Inadequate sewage system with more houses yet to come onto the system.

Beckingham very good, Saundby very poor.

Smells on Walkeringham Road

On odd occasions I have noticed a sewage smell mostly in the morning when the weather is warm.

Increased usage of the Recreation room has rendered sewage system inadequate. Requires own pipe work system to mains system.

Mains drainage blockages causing sewage problems etc.

The main sewer has blocked up, backing up down the street.

Smells on the high street at times.

After moderate rain, surface water gets into sewer which back-fills and floods over driveway and front of house with raw sewage. Severn Trent were going to remedy this but as far as I know nothing has yet been done.

Can't cope with heavy rain, the sewage floods out on to Low Street. Impact of much development using old infrastructure.

Sewerage system overflows during heavy rainstorms. It seems that this is due to water run off being directed into the sewerage mains. The inevitable conclusion is that one or both sewerage and run off drains are not big enough for current duty.

House sewage pipe damages in road by trench digging.

This is one reason why I oppose future development. It would strain all aspects of village infrastructure even more.

Saundby - Marsh Lane hasn't been restored for 15 years. Incompetence of Severn Trent/Health & Safety is a disgusting state of affairs.

Our system regularly blocks affecting several houses. The existing system cannot cope with the new proposed housing.

Occasionally overloaded then causing sewage to back up.

Sometimes have sewage in the dyke running parallel to our garden.

Strong smell of drains and sewage near level crossing on Old Trent Road. The sewage levels nearly come up enough to flood.

Don't agree to being charged by the council to unblock sewage systems. It stinks!

Sewage overflows in Marsh Lane, Saundby.

Land drain runs through my property to Saundby Beck and constantly floods my outbuildings and orchard due to not being maintained along its course.

Section	

Ask: <b>Q49.</b>	All How do	you rate t	he provisio	on of vill	age leisur	e activitie	es using ind	door facilit	ies?	
Obilidas a susadas	Total		Good		Reasona	ıble	Poor		No opinio	n
Children under	074	1000/	4.0	70/	07	4.407	00	100/	00	050/
12	271	100%	18	7%	37	14%	28	10%	68	25%
Young people										
(12 - 16)	271	100%	3	1%	28	10%	43	16%	68	25%
Young adults										
(16 - 25)	271	100%	4	1%	13	5%	51	19%	70	26%
Adults (25 - 40)	271	100%	9	3%	27	10%	51	19%	58	21%
Adults 50+	271	100%	29	11%	61	23%	36	13%	56	21%
Disabled people	271	100%	5	2%	21	8%	31	11%	75	28%

ΑII Ask: Q50. How do you rate the provision of village leisure activities using outdoor facilities? Total Good Reasonable Poor No opinion Children under 271 100% 12 4% 51 19% 31 11% 59 22% Young people (12 - 16)271 100% 8 3% 31 11% 43 16% 65 24% Young adults 7 271 21 51 24% (16 - 25)100% 3% 8% 19% 64 Adults (25 - 40) 271 100% 9 21 8% 59 22% 63 23% 3% Adults 50+ 271 100% 20 7% 45 17% 44 16% 71 26% Disabled people 271 100% 3 1% 8 3% 34 13% 83 31%

Ask: ΑII

Q51. Where do you normally find out about leisure activities in the parish?

Total	271	100%
Newsletter	109	40%
Leisure Centre	-	-%
Internet	2	1%
Word of mouth	103	38%
Parish Magazine	139	51%
Village / parish notice board	102	38%
Village shops and public places	111	41%
The local paper	50	18%
Library	3	1%

ΑII Ask:

Q52. Do you have any leisure skills or interests you would like to share with others in your

village/parish or sports you would like to organise?

Please complete the volunteer contact sheet at the back of the questionnaire if a scheme is suggested for your village / parish and you would like to hear more.

> 100% Total 271 Yes 1% 4 No 79% 214

**Q53.** Would you be prepared to organise and lead young people in your village/parish in sports and other initiatives?

Please complete the volunteer contact sheet at the back of the questionnaire if a scheme is suggested for your village / parish and you would like to hear more.

Total	271	100%
Yes	3	1%
No	223	82%

Ask: All

**Q54.** How many times a month do you use the following?

	Recreation	Room	Village Hall		Institute	
Recreation Room						
Total	271	100%	271	100%	271	100%
More than 10	5	2%	8	3%	3	1%
Between 6 and 10	19	7%	9	3%	3	1%
Between 1 and 5	36	13%	28	10%	4	1%
Less frequently	76	28%	99	37%	14	5%
Never	105	39%	93	34%	195	72%

Ask: All

**Q55.** Do you think the following are adequate for the needs of the village/parish?

	Recreation	Room	Village Hall		Institute	
Recreation Room						
Total	271	100%	271	100%	271	100%
Yes	197	73%	198	73%	150	55%
No	12	4%	15	6%	15	6%

Ask: All

Q56. What is your opinion of the facilities provided by the village/parish playing field

271	100%
58	21%
102	38%
31	11%
49	18%
	58 102 31

Ask: All

Q57. Should the village/parish have another /improved children's playground?

lotal	271	100%
Yes	118	44%
No	37	14%
Don't know	80	30%

Ask:	All					
Q58.	If you have children how often do they use the playground?					
	Total	271	100%			
	Several times a week	10	4%			
	Once or twice a month	14	5%			
	Less than once a month	17	6%			
	Never	19	7%			
Ask:	All					
Q59.	What additional amenities are needed in the village.					
	Total	271	100%			
	Public House	132	49%			
	Restaurant	77	28%			
	Fish & Chip Shop	108	40%			
	Other	14	5%			
	Training and Employment					
Ask:	All					
Q60.	If interested in training, when did you last have any training?					
	Total	271	100%			
	At school, college or university	31	11%			
	Other training courses	71	26%			
	I have never been on a training course	17	6%			
Ask:	All					
Q61.	How far are you prepared to travel for employment?					
	Total	271	100%			
	Up to 10 miles	25	9%			
	Up to 15 miles	17	6%			
	Up to 20 miles	20	7%			
	Over 20 miles	31	11%			
Ask:	All					
Q62.	Would your choice of work / training be restricted because:					
	Total	271	100%			
	You have young children to look after	8	3%			
	You have school age children	7	3%			
	You have elderly or disabled persons to care for	2	1%			
	There is no appropriate public transport	1	*%			

Ask: <b>Q63.</b>	All Do you have any of the following					
	Total	271	100%			
	A driving licence	147	54%			
	Access to private transport	129	48%			
	Access to a bicycle	103	38%			
	Access to an adequate bus service for getting to		0070			
	work training	14	5%			
	Access to an adequate rail service for getting to					
	work training	10	4%			
Ask:	All					
Q64.	What type of job are you looking for?					
	Total	271	100%			
	Unskilled	3	1%			
	Skilled manual	4	1%			
	Semi skilled manual	1	*%			
	Clerical	4	1%			
	Professional / management	5	2%			
Ask: <b>Q65.</b>	All Where do you usually obtain information about job vacancies?					
	Total	271	100%			
	Jobcentre plus	19	7%			
	Local newspaper	28	10%			
	Local TV/radio	2	1%			
	National press	10	4%			
	Word of mouth	15	6%			
	Library	1	*%			
	Local community newsletter	2	1%			
	Local college	-	-%			
	Connexions	3	1%			
Ask:	All					
Q66.	Have you used any of the following to gain transport information?					
	Total	271	100%			
	Nottinghamshire County Council's website TravelLine (the national phone line for local and	13	5%			
	national bus information)	31	11%			
	National Rail enquiries phone line	36	13%			
	Local library	11	4%			
	www.nottinghamtravelwise.org.uk	1	*%			
	www.nottingnamtraveiwise.org.uk Post office					
	Post office	13	5%			

Ask: <b>Q67.</b>	All If you own or use a computer what do you use it for?						
<b>Q</b> 07.	Total 271 100%						
	Office	71	26%				
	Finance	54	20%				
	Art Design Desk Top Publishing Internet access Other	17 28 107 46	6%				
			10%				
			39%				
			17%				
Ask:	All						
Q68.	Are you aware that your community has a website? v	www.becking	ham-northnotts.org	.uk			
	Total	271	100%				
	Yes	148	55%				
	No	62	23%				
	Don't know	9	3%				
Ask: Q69.	All What community aspects should a village website cover?						
	Total	271	100%				
	Local events	167	62%				
	Local information	163	60%				
	Local walks	127	47%				
	Notice board	129	48%				
	Chat room	32	12%				
	Items for sale / exchange	99	37%				
	Local shop information	115	42%				
	Online magazine or newsletter	102	38%				
Ask:	All						
Q70.	Would you be interested in helping with a community website in any way?						
	Total	271	100%				
	Yes	2	1%				
	No	-	-%				
	Don't know	1	*%				
	I require more information / training	3	1%				